


Fig. 1

APPROVED	O G. FIG.	
BY	CLASS	SUBCLASS
DRAFTSMAN		



**Fig. 2**





Fig. 3A

APPROVED	O G. FIG.	
BY	CLASS	SUBCLASS
DRAFTSMAN		



**Fig. 3B**





APPROVED	O G. FIG.	
BY	CLASS	SUBCLASS
DRAFTSMAN		




Fig. 4

003217 " 07642450




Fig. 5



APPROVED	O G. FIG.	
BY	CLASS	SUBCLASS
DRAFTSMAN		




Figure 6

APPROVED	O G. FIG.	
BY	CLASS	SUBCLASS
DRAFTSMAN		




Figure 7

APPROVED	O. G. FIG.	
BY	CLASS	SUBCLASS
DRAFTSMAN		




Figure 8

00327" 0754260



APPROVED	O G. FIG.	
BY	CLASS	SUBCLASS
DRAFTSMAN		

Allele Calling for di-nucleotide marker in linkage mapping  
application Sample Data (3)




Figure 10

APPROVED	O G. FIG.	
BY	CLASS	SUBCLASS
DRAFTSMAN		

# Allele Calling for di-nucleotide marker in linkage mapping application Sample Data (2)





Figure 11

APPROVED	O.G. FIG.	
BY	CLASS	SUBCLASS
DRAFTSMAN		

**Allele Calling for di-nucleotide marker in linkage mapping  
application Sample Data (1)**



**Figure 12**

APPROVED	O G. FIG.	
BY	CLASS	SUBCLASS
DRAFTSMAN		




Figure 13: Standard heterozygous allele signature. Circles denote user annotated allele calls. x-axis is in base pairs. y-axis is in A/D counts (voltage intensity)



APPROVED	O. G. FIG.	
BY	CLASS	SUBCLASS
DRAFTSMAN		




Figure 14: Steps in the allele calling routine. First the signal is simplified via sampling and its peaks are located. This forms the target signal that is to be approximated. The two interconnected boxes indicate the process of varying the parameters and testing how closely the resulting signal matches the sampled version of the original. The set of parameters that yield the closest match contain the allele calls.

APPROVED	O. G. FIG.	
BY	CLASS	SUBCLASS
DRAFTSMAN		




Figure 15: Illustration of hypothesis formation in the optimizer routine. The two columns of Figures represent the optimal solution (left column) and a suboptimal solution (right column). Panel (a) shows the target vector with the two red lines showing the location of the candidate peaks. Panel (c) shows the hypothesis formed using different values of stutter and <sup>+</sup>A. Panel (c) shows the residual error resulting from subtraction of the signal in panel (c) from the signal in panel (a) (sum squared error = 0.0355). Panels (b,d,f) show the same process for for a slightly different allele hypothesis. This is a poor hypothesis and the residual is rather significant (SSE = 0.4715). The x-axis is somewhat meaningless at this point since it gets mapped back to base-pair indices after the winning hypothesis is chosen.

APPROVED	O G. FIG.	
BY	CLASS	SUBCLASS
DRAFTSMAN		




Figure 16: Division of heterozygous signal into panels by the Envelope Caller algorithm. The panels are ranked according to signal energy and the three of interest are labeled p1, p2 and p3 with the two panels containing strong allele signatures being shaded in blue. Circles denote user annotated allele calls. x-axis is in base pairs. y-axis is in A/D counts (voltage intensity)

APPROVED	O G. FIG.	
BY	CLASS	SUBCLASS
DRAFTSMAN		




Figure 17: Example of how reporting could be accomplished. These are examples where consensus was not reached and show data that is difficult to interpret.